

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/018,052	06/07/2002	Gerhard Jahn	WWELL59.001APC	5162	
20995	7590 12/28/2004		EXAMINER		
KNOBBE M	MARTENS OLSON &	YEUNG, GEORGE CHAN PUI			
2040 MAIN STREET FOURTEENTH FLOOR IRVINE, CA 92614			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1761		
			DATE MAILED: 12/28/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				ŕ			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/018,052	JAHN ET AL				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		George c Yeung	1761	_			
Period fe	 The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply 	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	ss			
A SH THE - Exte afte: - If th - If No - Faili Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per une to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by start reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may reply within the statutory minimum of iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) N tute, cause the application to become	y a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this commuse a ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.			
Status							
1) 🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23	3 August 2004.					
,	•	his action is non-final.					
3)[☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims			•			
4)⊠	Claim(s) 27-68 is/are pending in the applica	ition.					
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>50-52</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>27-49 and 53-68</u> is/ are rejected.						
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.					
Applicat	tion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.					
10)	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attac	hed Office Action or form PTO-	152.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U.S.0	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
•	All b) Some * c) None of:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority docum		n Application No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	riority documents have be	en received in this National Sta	ige .			
	application from the International Bur	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* ;	See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies r	not received.				
Attachme		,, m	O				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date				
3) 🔯 Info	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB. er No(s)/Mail Date 2/13/02 & 6/10/02.		of Informal Patent Application (PTO-15	2)			

Art Unit: 1761

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of claims 27-49 and 53-68 (Species A) in the response filed on August 23, 2004 is acknowledged.

Claim rejections- 35 USC § 112

Claims 32-46, 54-56, 58-61, 67 and 68 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

- 1. It is not clear how a third heat source can be used for cooling a heated milk as recited in claim 32, lines 3-4. Note that a "heat" source is normally used for <u>heating</u> a liquid food material such as milk.
- 2. It is not clear what apparatus structure is intended by the limitation "breast milk" as recited in claim 54. Note that the limitation recited in claim 54 is a method_limitation and thus it fails to further limit the subject matter of the previous apparatus claim in terms of positive structure.
- 3. It is also not cleat how a further heat source recited in claim 55 and a second heat source recited in claim 67 can be used for cooling the heated milk or container. Note that a "heating" source is normally used for heating a container or milk.

Claims Rejection - 35 § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 27, 29, 53-58, 63, 67 and 68 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by British patent 634,434 (see especially page 1, lines 16-27 and 62-95, and page 3, line 104 through page 4, line 11).

Claim Rejection – 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 28 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over British patent 634,434 in view of Forsum et al (Database accession no. 80-4-01-p0169, and Journal of Nutrition 1979, Inst. Of Nutr., Univ. of Uppsala, Sweden). It would have been obvious to apply the milk-heating technique of the British patent to treat breast milk since Forsum et al show the conventional expedient of heating human milk at 72°C for more than 20 seconds.

Application/Control Number: 10/018,052 Page 4

Art Unit: 1761

Claims 30-49, 59-62 and 64-66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over British patent 634,434. It is not deemed that the features variously recited in dependent claims 30-49, 59-62 and 64-66 would define unobvious subject matter over the teaching of the British patent in the absence of any new or unexpected results. The features recited in these dependent claims are considered to be obvious matters of routine optimization or choice well within the skill of an ordinary artisan in the field of food technology.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George C. Yeung whose telephone number is (571) 272-1412. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 10:30 AM to 7:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on (571) 272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

G. C. Yeung/af December 22, 2004

GEORGE C. YEUNG